1 2 3 4 5 6	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR. (SBN 13 tboutrous@gibsondunn.com RICHARD J. DOREN (SBN 124666) rdoren@gibsondunn.com DANIEL G. SWANSON (SBN 116556) dswanson@gibsondunn.com MELISSA PHAN (SBN 266880) mphan@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197	32099)
7	Telephone: 213.229.7000 Facsimile: 213.229.7520	
8 9 10	CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No. 4 crichman@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5306 Telephone: 202.955.8500 Facsimile: 202.467.0539	92089; appearance pro hac vice)
12	Attorneys for Defendant, APPLE INC.	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	OAKLAND DIVISION	
16 17 18 19 20	DONALD R. CAMERON, a California resident; PURE SWEAT BASKETBALL, INC., an Illinois corporation; and BARRY SERMONS, a Georgia resident, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. 4:19-cv-03074-YGR QTFGT'I TCPVIPI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT APPLE INC. TO ANSWER COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 6-1
21	V.	The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
22	APPLE INC., a California corporation.	_
23	Defendant.	
24		'
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1	II	

record herein and without waiving any rights into this Stipulation pursuant to Civil Local Ficircumstances: WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their Con Complaint") on September 30, 2019; WHEREAS, on October 7, 2019, the the Consolidated Complaint by October 28, 2 WHEREAS, although Apple believes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), in order to average motion to dismiss the Consolidated Complaint defense; WHEREAS, the parties have agreed the response to the Consolidated Complaint to all	asolidated Class Action Complaint ("Consolidated Court ordered Apple to file any motion to dismiss		
into this Stipulation pursuant to Civil Local Ficircumstances: WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their Con Complaint") on September 30, 2019; WHEREAS, on October 7, 2019, the the Consolidated Complaint by October 28, 2 WHEREAS, although Apple believes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), in order to average motion to dismiss the Consolidated Complaint defense; WHEREAS, the parties have agreed the response to the Consolidated Complaint to all the consoli	Rule 6-2, with reference to the following asolidated Class Action Complaint ("Consolidated Court ordered Apple to file any motion to dismiss 2019 (Tr. 18:11-12); at that it has meritorious arguments for dismissal oid burdening the Court, it has decided not to file a not and instead will file an Answer that sets forth its		
where complaint of the Consolidated Complaint by October 28, 2 Where As, although Apple believes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), in order to average motion to dismiss the Consolidated Complaint defense; Where As, the parties have agreed the response to the Consolidated Complaint to all the consolidated Compla	Court ordered Apple to file any motion to dismiss 2019 (Tr. 18:11-12); sthat it has meritorious arguments for dismissal oid burdening the Court, it has decided not to file a nt and instead will file an Answer that sets forth its		
WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their Con Complaint") on September 30, 2019; WHEREAS, on October 7, 2019, the the Consolidated Complaint by October 28, 2 WHEREAS, although Apple believes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), in order to ave motion to dismiss the Consolidated Complain defense; WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to response to the Consolidated Complaint to al	Court ordered Apple to file any motion to dismiss 2019 (Tr. 18:11-12); sthat it has meritorious arguments for dismissal oid burdening the Court, it has decided not to file a not and instead will file an Answer that sets forth its		
Complaint") on September 30, 2019; WHEREAS, on October 7, 2019, the the Consolidated Complaint by October 28, 2 WHEREAS, although Apple believes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), in order to average motion to dismiss the Consolidated Complaint defense; WHEREAS, the parties have agreed the response to the Consolidated Complaint to all the conso	Court ordered Apple to file any motion to dismiss 2019 (Tr. 18:11-12); sthat it has meritorious arguments for dismissal oid burdening the Court, it has decided not to file a not and instead will file an Answer that sets forth its		
WHEREAS, on October 7, 2019, the the Consolidated Complaint by October 28, 2 WHEREAS, although Apple believes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), in order to average motion to dismiss the Consolidated Complain defense; WHEREAS, the parties have agreed the response to the Consolidated Complaint to all the consolidat	2019 (Tr. 18:11-12); s that it has meritorious arguments for dismissal oid burdening the Court, it has decided not to file a nt and instead will file an Answer that sets forth its		
the Consolidated Complaint by October 28, 2 WHEREAS, although Apple believes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), in order to ave motion to dismiss the Consolidated Complain defense; WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to response to the Consolidated Complaint to al	2019 (Tr. 18:11-12); s that it has meritorious arguments for dismissal oid burdening the Court, it has decided not to file a nt and instead will file an Answer that sets forth its		
WHEREAS, although Apple believes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), in order to average motion to dismiss the Consolidated Complain defense; WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to the Consolidated Complaint to all t	s that it has meritorious arguments for dismissal oid burdening the Court, it has decided not to file a nt and instead will file an Answer that sets forth its		
under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), in order to average motion to dismiss the Consolidated Complain defense; WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to the Consolidated Complaint to all	oid burdening the Court, it has decided not to file a nt and instead will file an Answer that sets forth its		
motion to dismiss the Consolidated Complain defense; WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to response to the Consolidated Complaint to al	nt and instead will file an Answer that sets forth its		
defense; WHEREAS, the parties have agreed tresponse to the Consolidated Complaint to al			
WHEREAS, the parties have agreed tresponse to the Consolidated Complaint to al	to extend the October 28, 2019 deadline for Apple's		
response to the Consolidated Complaint to al	to extend the October 28, 2019 deadline for Apple's		
	response to the Consolidated Complaint to allow time for Apple to file its Answer;		
THEREFORE, the parties, through their counsel, hereby stipulate:			
1. The deadline for Apple to file its Answer to the Consolidated Complaint shall be			
November 11, 2019.			
IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
Dated: October 28, 2019	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Theodore J. Boutrous Jr.		
	Richard J. Doren		
	Daniel G. Swanson Cynthia E. Richman Melissa Phan		
	By: /s/ Daniel G. Swanson Daniel G. Swanson 333 South Grand Avenue		
	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197		
	Telephone: 213.229.7000		
	Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.		
	IT IS SO STIPULATED. Dated: October 28, 2019		

1	Dated: October 28, 2019	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP Steve W. Berman	
2		Robert F. Lopez Shana E. Scarlett	
3		Snana E. Scarlett	
4		By: <u>/s/ Steve W. Berman</u> Steve W. Berman	
5		1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000	
6		Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: 206.623.7292	
7		Interim Lead Class Counsel	
8			
9			
10	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
11		•	
12	DATED: <u>October 29, 2019</u>	HON. YVONNE CONZALEZ ROCERS	
13		HON. YVONNE CONZALEZ ROCORS United States District Court Judge	
14		<u> </u>	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		2	